

Protocol Implementation Rating Scale

Category	Rating 1 – 5	
1 (never) 2 (rarely) 3 (sometimes) 4 (frequently) 5 (always)		
A. A More Trauma-Informed Youth Justice System	Circle your response	Total
1. Trauma informed practice is discussed at staff meetings and/or during staff supervision meetings	1 2 3 4 5	/25
2. Further trauma-informed training for staff is supported by leadership	1 2 3 4 5	
3. Education and support are provided to staff experiencing trauma exposure response (vicarious trauma)	1 2 3 4 5	
4. Agency works at developing common language and approaches between other agencies and sectors working with justice-involved youth	1 2 3 4 5	
5. Agency contributes to improve system capacity to support justice-involved youth exhibiting trauma exposure response and their caregivers	1 2 3 4 5	
B. Improved Cultural Awareness		
6. Staff attend cross-sectoral training groups (FNIM, mainstream, frontline, management)	1 2 3 4 5	/20
7. Agency works to improve relationships and collaboration between FNIM and non-FNIM agencies	1 2 3 4 5	
8. Agency works towards improved capacity to support traditional ways of knowing and forms of healing	1 2 3 4 5	
9. Agency has knowledge of and access to traditional supports	1 2 3 4 5	
C. Universal Screening using the GAIN-SS – CAMH-modified version		
10. Agency uses the GAIN-SS screener with clients	1 2 3 4 5	/20
11. Appropriate staff complete the training for GAIN-SS use with clients	1 2 3 4 5	
12. Screening results are shared with other agencies as appropriate	1 2 3 4 5	
13. Use of the GAIN-SS increases appropriate client referrals	1 2 3 4 5	
D. Improved System Navigation & Referrals		
14. Agency has knowledge of FNIM organizations, traditional healing methods, and/or cultural resources	1 2 3 4 5	/20
15. Agency uses the navigation map to assist with referrals	1 2 3 4 5	
16. Agency uses the map to assist justice-involved youth and their caregivers navigate the system	1 2 3 4 5	
17. Agency uses the inter-agency referral form	1 2 3 4 5	
E. Monitoring & Evaluation		
18. Agency completes protocol-related surveys, and evaluation and data requests	1 2 3 4 5	/15
19. Agency implementation progress is monitored using the Protocol Implementation Rating Scale	1 2 3 4 5	
20. Agency reports implementation progress at annual Service Collaborative evaluation meeting	1 2 3 4 5	
Total Score	/100	